

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/082,728	02/26/2002	John Erik Hershey	RD27856	4694	
6147	7590 01/20/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	ELECTRIC COMPAN	NY	GHULAMALI, QUTBUDDIN		
GLOBAL RI PATENT DO	ESEARCH OCKET RM. BLDG. K1-	4A59	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NISKAYUN	A, NY 12309		2637		

DATE MAILED: 01/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/082,728	HERSHEY ET AL.	•
Examiner		Art Unit	,
	Qutub Ghulamali	2637	•

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 January 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3 a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) a set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21 and 25</u> .
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  13. Other:
On lengther

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it is alleged that the claim rejection under 35 U.S.C 102(e) to Richards et al does not disclose the limitations "producing ultrawideband downconverted pulses from the transmitted reference ultra wideband communications signals and further "receiving the transmitted reference ultra wideband communications signals using an antenna", as recited in independent claims 1, 14 and 25.

As stated in the final office action on 11/07/2005, the art to Richards discloses every feature of the claimed invention including "producing ultra wideband downconverted pulses from the transmitted reference ultra wideband communications signals and further "receiving the transmitted reference ultra wideband communications signals using an antenna". Richards very clearly discloses these limitations and the applicant is respectfully directed to Richards, page 12, section 0254, disclosing that the ultra wideband pulses are sent to a transmit antenna (624) via transmission line (626) wherein the pulses are converted (produced) into ultra wideband. At the receiver these pulses are down converted (via cross correlator and delay, see page 12, section 0257, 0258 and 0259 disclosing substantially similar features as the applicant's discussion in paragraph 0017 of his specification which in essence is considered a down conversion step). As to applicant's remarks regarding TR-UWB signal consists of two pulses, the examiner finds no such limitations claimed in the claims and therefore disagrees because no comparison of monopulses with the two pulses as the applicant eludes to in page 6 of his remarks can be found. Therefore, based on disclosure by Richards, the examiner considers the rejection of claims 1, 14 and 25 as appropriate and proper.